

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,503	09/10/2003	Akihiko Ito	S004-5101	3602
75	90 05/31/2005		EXAMINER	
ADAMS & WILKS			TRAN, HUAN HUU	
31st Floor 50 Broadway			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY	10004		2861	
			D	

DATE MAILED: 05/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1.4		<u> </u>
"	Application No.	Applicant(s)	ĺ
A STATE OF THE STA	10/659,503	ITO ET AL.	
6 Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Huan H. Tran	2861	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	••
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on g	oreliminary amendment filed	on 08/09/04.	
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the meri	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>5-13</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>5-13</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exart 10) The drawing(s) filed on 09 September 2003 Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	3 is/are: a) \square accepted or b) the drawing(s) be held in abeyonetion is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	21(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) □ All b) □ Some * c) ☑ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority docum 2. □ Certified copies of the priority docum 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	e
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/11/04.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/659,503

Art Unit: 2861

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Japan on 09/25/2002. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the foreign priority application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 5-10, 11, 12, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahira (JP 04-140175) discussed on page 2 of the specification in view of Ogawa (JP 03-190773 cited in the IDS filed on 03/11/04).

As to claim 5-11, Takahira discloses a thermal printer comprising:

- a thermal head (not label but is clearly shown in Fig.2) having a heating element;
- a platen roller (4) urged against the thermal head;
- driving means for driving the heating element to print on a printing paper (not shown but is inherent):
- a paper feeding mechanism for feeding the printing paper in a paper feeding direction by rotating the platen roller with the printing paper () sandwiched between the thermal head and the platen roller (motor 11 for driving the platen roller 4 shown in Fig.1);

a movable mechanism (support body 5, rotating shaft 6) for supporting one of the thermal head and the platen roller so as to be movable in a predetermined direction; and

biasing means (spring 13) for generating a pressing force at a pressing portion between the thermal head and the platen roller;

a frame 1 for supporting the thermal head, the platen roller, the movable mechanism and the biasing means (claim 10)

Takahira does not teach or suggest the limitation "wherein the predetermined direction and the biasing direction are perpendicular to the paper feeding direction at the pressing portion" (claim 5), the limitation "wherein the movable mechanism comprises a rotating mechanism that rotatably supports the thermal head about a rotating support shaft, the rotating support shaft being arranged along a plane formed by the paper feeding direction at the pressing portion" (claim 6), the limitation "wherein the biasing means comprises a spring that presses the thermal head toward the platen roller, a pressing direction of the spring being perpendicular to the paper feeding direction at the pressing portion" (claim 7), the limitation "wherein a center of an acting point of the spring is located on a plane which passes through the pressing portion between the thermal head and the platen roller and which is perpendicular to the paper feeding direction at the pressing portion." (claim 8) by providing the head supporting body rotating shaft along a straight line that connects the center of the rotating support shaft with the pressing portion between the thermal head and the platen roller (see the explanation given in the first full paragraph on page 10 of the specification) and by pressing the head against the platen roller in a direction perpendicular to the head surface of the thermal head.

Ogawa teaches the missing limitations in that the head rotating shaft (fulcrum 5) is positioned on the tangent line of the recording line to the platen roller (1), i.e. along a straight line that connects the center of the rotating support shaft with the pressing portion between the thermal head and the platen roller, and that the head (2) is pushed against the platen roller by biasing means (spring 7) in a direction perpendicular to the head surface of the thermal head.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Ogawa and Takahira to make the biasing force of the thermal head uniform and to prevent the increase of the torque of a platen roller.

As to claim 11, the limitation regarding the printing paper is noted but is not given patentable weight since it has been held that material or article worked upon does not limit apparatus claims. MPEP 2115. See Ex parte Thibault, 164 USPQ 666, 667, (Bd. App. 1969) ("Expressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof during an intended operation are of no significance in determining patentability of the apparatus claim."); In re Young, 25 USPQ 69 (CCPA 1935) (as restated in In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963) ("Inclusion of material or article worked upon by a structure being claimed does not impart patentability to the claims.").

Similarly, as to claims 12 and 13, Takahira discloses a printer comprising: a print head for performing printing; a roller (4) urged against the print head for feeding printing paper sandwiched therebetween in a paper feeding direction; a moyable mechanism (head support 5) for supporting one of the print head and the roller so as to be movable in a predetermined

Art Unit: 2861

direction; and biasing means for producing a pressing force at a pressing portion between the print head and the roller;

Takahira does not teach the limitation "wherein predetermined direction and the biasing direction are perpendicular to the paper feeding direction at the pressing portion to thereby reduce a force applied to the paper in a direction opposite to that of the paper feeding direction." and the limitation "wherein the movable mechanism comprises a rotating mechanism that rotatably supports the print head about a rotatable support shaft, the rotatable support shaft being arranged along a plane formed by the paper feeding direction at the pressing portion".

Ogawa teaches the missing limitations in that the head rotating shaft (fulcrum 5) is positioned on the tangent line of the recording line to the platen roller (1), i.e. along a straight line that connects the center of the rotating support shaft with the pressing portion between the thermal head and the platen roller, and that the head (2) is pushed against the platen roller by biasing means (spring 7) in a direction perpendicular to the head surface of the thermal head.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Ogawa and Takahira to make the biasing force of the thermal head uniform and to prevent the increase of the torque of a platen roller.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huan H. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-2261. The examiner can normally be reached on at work on W-F from 6:30 to 5; T are telework days.

Art Unit: 2861

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Talbott can be reached on (571) 272-1934. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at \$66-217-9197 (toll-free).

Huan H. Tran Primary Examiner Art Unit 2861

hht 05/26/2005